UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/542,729	07/20/2005	Michael Stelter	002664-26	8390	
	7590 12/23/201 OTKOWSKI SAFRA I		EXAM	INER	
Intellectual Prop	Intellectual Property Department MA			ΓΙΝ, ANGELA J	
P.O. Box 10064 MCLEAN, VA	N, VA 22102-8064		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1727		
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			12/23/2010	ELECTRONIC	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

lgallaugher@rmsclaw.com dbeltran@rmsclaw.com docketing@rmsclaw.com

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/542,729	STELTER, MICHAEL	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	ANGELA J. MARTIN	1795	
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perion. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by staten Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the may earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNI 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a od will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON tute, cause the application to become Al	CATION. reply be timely filed NTHS from the mailing date of this communic BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>06</u> This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ To Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under the practice under the practice.	his action is non-final. vance except for formal mat	•	ts is
Disposition of Claims			
4) ☑ Claim(s) <u>8-16</u> is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withd 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☑ Claim(s) <u>8-16</u> is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	rawn from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Exami 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) a Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corrupt of the oath or declaration is objected to by the	ccepted or b) objected to he drawing(s) be held in abeyal ection is required if the drawing	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). (s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.12	, ,
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for forei a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority docume application from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a li	ents have been received. ents have been received in A riority documents have beer eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No I received in this National Stage	;
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(Summary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date nformal Patent Application	
 Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>12/6/10</u>. 	6) Other:		

DETAILED ACTION

This Office Action is responsive to the Amendment filed on October 6, 2010. The Applicant has amended claims 8, 15; and added new claim 16. However, the IDS filed on December 6, 2010, lead to a new rejection which is presented for the following reasons of record.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 8-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Yuichi et al., JP 07-296831 (machine translation), in view of Beckmann et al., US 2004/0038102 A1.

Rejection of claims 8-16 drawn to a fuel cell stack.

Yuichi et al., teach a fuel cell stack, comprising: a plurality of fuel cell elements and a plurality of separating plates, a respective one of the separating plates being located between a respective pair of fuel cell elements (abstract; Fig. 1), channels extend in a direction in which the fuel cell elements and separating plates are stacked (Fig. 3), a supply of combustion gas on a first side of the fuel cell elements and a supply of oxidizer on an opposite side of the fuel cell elements (0009), on the first side of the

Art Unit: 1795

fuel cell element;: a plurality parallel lengthwise channels are provided for routing of the combustion gas (Fig. 2), a distributor zone (pocket section) which connects the supply channel to first ends of the lengthwise channels, and a collecting zone (collecting section) which connects the discharge channel to second ends of the lengthwise channels (0013), and on the second side of the fuel cell elements, an oxidizer guide is formed which runs in the direction of the lengthwise channels and which is open to sides of the fuel cell stack for supplying of the oxidizer (Fig. 3, ref 1a). Fuel cell stack as claimed in claim 8, wherein the distributor zone tapers from the supply channel along the first ends of the lengthwise channels and the collecting zone tapers from the discharge channel along the second ends of the lengthwise channels (Fig. 3). Fuel cell stack wherein first and second sides face in opposite directions toward separating plate (Fig. 2 (a)).

Beckmann et al., teach at least one inside supply channel being provided to supply a combustion gas and at least one inside discharge channel being provided to discharge an exhaust gas (Fig. 1, 4, 5).. Fuel cell stack as claimed in claim 8, wherein the at least one supply channel and the at least one discharge channel are arranged by diagonally opposite corners of the fuel cell stack (Fig. 5). Fuel cell stack as claimed in claim 8, wherein the distributor zone and the collecting zone are made symmetrical with respect to the fuel cell elements (Fig. 4). Fuel cell stack as claimed in claim 1, wherein the distributor zone and the collecting zone are provided with cooling surfaces (0034). Fuel cell stack as claimed in claim 1, wherein at least one of the distributor zone and the collecting zone have heat exchange surfaces by which heat energy is transferable

Art Unit: 1795

between the combustion gas and the oxidizer (0034). The oxidizer guide channels are directly in a surface of the separating plate (Fig. 2, ref. 12a).

Thus, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to insert the teachings of Beckmann et al., into the teachings of Yuichi et al., because it is well known in the art to provide a means of heat exchange, as illustrated in Beckmann et al.

Response to Arguments

3. Applicant's arguments with respect to above claims have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

4. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Maru, US 4,444,851, teach a fuel cell stack comprising lengthwise channels which are open to sides of the fuel cell stack.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to ANGELA J. MARTIN whose telephone number is (571)272-1288. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 10:00 am to 6:00 pm.

Application/Control Number: 10/542,729 Page 5

Art Unit: 1795

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Dah-Wei Yuan can be reached on 571-272-1295. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

AJM /Angela J. Martin/ Examiner, Art Unit 1795